

REPORT

J.C. Weadock Generating Facility Dry Ash Landfill 2018 Landfill Inspection Report

Essexville, Michigan Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.84 (Landfills)

Submitted to:

Consumers Energy Company

1945 W. Parnall Road Jackson, Michigan, USA 49201

Submitted by:

Golder Associates Inc.

15851 South US 27, Suite 50 Lansing, Michigan, USA 48906

+1 517 482-2262

1896651.0005

October 15, 2018

Certifications

Professional Engineer Certification Statement [40 CFR 257.84]

I hereby certify that, having reviewed the attached documentation and being familiar with the provisions of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Section 257.84 (40 CFR Part 257.84), I attest that this Annual Inspection Report is accurate and has been prepared in accordance with good engineering practices, including the consideration of applicable industry standards, and with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 257.84.

Golder Associates Inc.

October 15, 2018

Date of Report Certification

Tiffany D. Johnson, P.E.

Name

6201049160

Professional Engineer Certification Number



Table of Contents

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-----|--|---|
| 2.0 | BACKGROUND AND DOCUMENT REVIEW SUMMARY | 1 |
| 3.0 | 2018 VISUAL INSPECTION | 2 |
| 4.0 | LIMITATIONS OF ASSESSMENT | 3 |
| 5.0 | CLOSING | 4 |
| 6.0 | REFERENCES | 5 |

List of Tables

 Table 1
 Summary of Background Document Review

List of Appendices

Appendix A Inspection Checklist Form

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On April 17, 2015, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued the Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Rule (40 CFR 257 Subpart D) ("CCR RCRA Rule") to regulate the beneficial use and disposal of CCR materials generated at coal-fired electrical power generating complexes. The CCR Rule requires owners or operators of existing CCR landfills to have those units inspected on an annual basis by a qualified professional engineer in accordance with 40 CFR 257.84(b). The annual qualified professional engineer inspections are required to be completed and the results documented in an inspection report.

Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) was retained by Consumers Energy Company (CEC) to perform the annual inspection of the Dry Ash Landfill (Landfill) at the J.C. Weadock Generating Facility (JC Weadock). The intent of the inspection is to document, to the extent reasonable based on information provided by CEC and the limits of the visual inspection, that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR unit is consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering standards. Golder reviewed available information regarding the status and condition of the CCR unit and performed a visual onsite inspection to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit. The inspection included the following:

- Any changes in geometry of the structure since the previous annual inspection.
- Approximate volume of CCR contained in the unit at the time of inspection.
- Appearances of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit, in addition to any existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures.
- Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the Landfill since the previous inspection.

2.0 BACKGROUND AND DOCUMENT REVIEW SUMMARY

JC Weadock is located in Essexville, Michigan and is bounded by the Saginaw River to the west and Saginaw Bay to the north. The Landfill serves as the facility's primary disposal of dry ash and consists of two fill areas, the West Fill Area and East Fill Area, as depicted on the 2011 Final Closure plans by AECOM. Total permitted capacity of the landfill is 11,200,000 cubic yards (cys) with approximately 1,522,365 cys reported consumed. Dry ash is blown to a silo and conditioned to a desired moisture content to prevent fugitive dust and to aid in compaction. The dry ash from the silos was historically trucked from the D.E. Karn facility and placed in active areas of the JC Weadock Dry Ash Landfill. Approximately 23,265 cys of waste has been placed since the previous inspection (CEC, 2017).

In 2008, a soil bentonite slurry wall was constructed within the perimeter clay dike of the landfill and keyed into the underlying hydraulically confining glacial clay till layer.

The applicable available information reviewed for this assessment is summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of Background Document Review

| Document | Date | Author |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Weekly Inspection Reports | January 2017 – January 2018 | Dry Ash Landfill Qualified Personnel |
| Combined Solid Waste Landfill Waste Receipt Report – J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill, WDS No. 395457 | October 27, 2017 | Consumers Energy Company |
| J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill 2017 Annual RCRA CCR Landfill Inspection Report | October 2017 | Golder Associates Inc. |
| J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill 2016 Annual RCRA CCR Landfill Inspection Report | October 2016 | Golder Associates Inc. |
| J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill 2015 Initial Annual RCRA CCR Landfill Inspection Report | January 2016 | Golder Associates Inc. |
| Coal Combustion Waste Impoundment Round 7 - Dam Assessment Report, JC Weadock Fly Ash Dike | April 2011 | Dewberry & Davis, LLC, Fairfax, Virginia |
| J.C. Weadock Revised Closure Plan | December 2011 | AECOM Technical Services, Inc. |
| Surveillance Monitoring Programs (SMPs) | December 2010, Revised 2015 | CEC |

3.0 2018 VISUAL INSPECTION

The 2018 onsite visual inspection of the Landfill was performed by Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) on May 8, 2018. Golder's inspectors, Tiffany Johnson, P.E. and Halle Doering, EIT, were accompanied by two Consumers Energy Company (CEC) representatives, as follows:

- Mr. George McKenzie, CEC Systems Engineering Department
- Mr. Caleb Batts, CEC Site Environmental Department

Provided in Appendix A is the inspection checklist form that provides both observations and recommendations as a result of the visual inspection and the following information as stipulated in 40 CFR 257.84(b):

- Any changes in geometry of the structure since the previous annual inspection.
 - None were observed
- Approximate volume of Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) at the time of inspection
 - The volume of CCR at the time of inspection was approximately 1.52 million cubic yards based on information from CEC.
- Appearances of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit, in addition to any existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures

- None were observed
- Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the impounding structure since the previous inspection
 - None were observed

The checklist categorizes observed conditions of the impoundment or appurtenant structures as either acceptable, monitor/maintain, investigate, or repair, which are defined as follows:

- Acceptable: The condition was visually documented to be acceptable, requiring no action beyond periodic inspection in accordance with the SMP and typical maintenance.
- Monitor/Maintain: The condition was visually identified to exhibit the potential for or show existing degeneration that should either be monitored or maintained as detailed in the checklist.
 - Items identified in this category are not considered a deficiency or release as classified under 40 CFR 257.84(b)(5) requiring immediate action by CEC.
- Investigate: The limitations of the visual inspection did not allow for an opinion to be made on the condition of the item observed, and Golder recommends additional investigation to categorize the item.
- Repair: Golder recommends that items identified with a repair designation exhibited conditions that should initiate measures be taken to rectify the area of concern.
 - It should be noted that no items identified for repair were considered a deficiency or release as classified under 40 CFR 257.84(b)(5) requiring immediate action by CEC.

Based on a review of previous inspection reports listed in Table 1 compared to conditions noted during the inspection, the following changes were observed:

- Sections of erosion and sloughing along the discharge channel to the north were repaired with rip rap.
- Golder observed erosion and rodent burrows along the northern, eastern and southern slopes of the Dry Ash Landfill.

4.0 LIMITATIONS OF ASSESSMENT

Golder has conducted the site inspection and prepared this report for the Dry Ash Landfill at J.C Weadock. The factual data, assessment, interpretations, and recommendations provided herein are based on the results of field observations from site inspections performed by Golder and review of previous site inspection reports provided to Golder by CEC and pertain to the specific project as described in this report and are not applicable to any other project or site location.

Golder has prepared this report in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering and science professions currently practicing under similar conditions and has characterized the site conditions within the limitations of the scope of services as defined by CEC and subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this report. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. Any change of site conditions, purpose, development plans, or operation may alter the validity of this report. Golder cannot be responsible for use of this report, or portions thereof, unless Golder is requested to review and, if necessary, revise the report.

5.0 CLOSING

This report has been prepared in general accordance with normally accepted civil engineering practices to fulfill the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) reporting requirements in accordance with 40 CFR 257.84(b)(2). Golder has reviewed the available information on the JC Weadock Dry Ash Landfill and performed an onsite visual inspection. Golder's assessment is limited to the information provided by CEC and to the aspects that could be inspected visually in a safe manner. Golder cannot attest to the condition of subsurface or submerged structures.

6.0 **REFERENCES**

| Document | Date | Author |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Weekly Inspection Reports | January 2017 – January 2018 | Dry Ash Landfill Qualified Personnel |
| Combined Solid Waste Landfill Waste Receipt Report – J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill, WDS No. 395457 | October 27, 2017 | Consumers Energy Company |
| J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill 2017 Annual RCRA CCR Landfill Inspection Report | October 2017 | Golder Associates Inc. |
| J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill 2016 Annual RCRA CCR Landfill Inspection Report | October 2016 | Golder Associates Inc. |
| J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill 2015 Initial Annual RCRA CCR Landfill Inspection Report | January 2016 | Golder Associates Inc. |
| Coal Combustion Waste Impoundment Round 7 - Dam Assessment Report, JC Weadock Fly Ash Dike | April 2011 | Dewberry & Davis, LLC, Fairfax, Virginia |
| J.C. Weadock Revised Closure Plan | December 2011 | AECOM Technical Services, Inc. |
| Surveillance Monitoring Programs (SMPs) | December 2010, Revised 2015 | CEC |

Signature Page

Golder Associates Inc.

m)

Halle Doering Staff Engineer

any among J

Tiffany D. Johnson, P.E. *Associate*

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation

APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST FORM

CCR LANDFILL VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Facility Name: J.C. Weadock Dry Ash Landfill

Owner: Consumers Energy Company (CEC)

Purpose of Facility: Dry Ash Disposal

County, State: Bay County, Michigan

Inspected By: Tiffany Johnson and Halle Doering

Inspection Date: May 8, 2018

Weather: 79°F, Clear

| ITEM | | Acceptable | Monitor/Maintain | Investigate | Repair | REMARKS | |
|------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|---------|--|
| 1. | Ger | neral Conditions | | | | | |
| | a. | Current volume of CCR | | | | | Volume: Approximately 1,522,365 CY (See Note 1) |
| | b. | Alterations | Х | | | | Slope sloughing on northern discharge channel side were repaired in April 2018. |
| | c. | Grass cover | | х | | | Areas of bare vegetation on discharge channel side and southern slopes, maintain vegetation controls, see note 2. |
| | d. | Settlement/misalignment/cracks | | Х | | | Steep slopes along discharge channel, areas repaired in April 2018. |
| | e. | Leachate Collection | | | | | NA – No leachate collection system exists. |
| 2. | Lan | dfill Slope | | | | | |
| | a. | Erosion – liner exposed | | х | | | Minor erosion observed on northern and eastern slopes, maintain erosion controls, see note 2. |
| | b. | Rodent burrows | | х | | | Several large animal burrows observed along north, northeast, and east sides of the landfill perimeter slopes, maintain animal control procedures. See Note 2. |
| | C. | Vegetation | | х | | | Along the northern side slope, near the end of the discharge channel, there were areas of bare vegetation, maintain vegetation controls. See Note 2. |
| | d. | Cracks/settlement | | х | | | Observed deterioration of a stump along north slope observed in the 2017 inspection sloughed and was repaired with riprap. |
| | e. | Riprap/other erosion protection | | Х | | | Sparse riprap along northern slopes of the discharge channel was repaired. |
| | f. | Slide, Slough, Scarp | | Х | | | Minor sloughing observed along north slope. |
| | g. | Benches | | Х | | | Some erosion on the benches on the North and East. |
| | h. | Final Cover | Х | | | | |
| | i. | Downchutes | | | | | N/A |
| 3. | Cre | st | | | | | |
| | a. | Soil condition | Х | | | | Gravel roads |

| ITE | M | | Acceptable | Monitor/Maintain | Investigate | Repair | REMARKS |
|--------|----|--|------------|------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | b. | Comparable to design width or previous inspection | х | | | | |
| | C. | Vegetation | | | | | N/A – gravel |
| | d. | Rodent burrows | | х | | | Observed several animal burrows on eastern sides of the crest, maintain animal control procedures. See Note 2. |
| | e. | Exposed to heavy traffic | Х | | | | Exposed to heavy traffic, but no observed damage. |
| | f. | Damage from vehicles/machinery | х | | | | None observed. |
| 4. Toe | | | | | | | |
| | a. | Vegetation | Х | | | | |
| | b. | Rodent burrows | | Х | | | Several burrows on south slope near toes. |
| | C. | Settlement | | х | | | Areas of erosion at toes on south slopes. |
| | d. | Drainage conditions | х | | | | |
| | e. | Seepage | Х | | | | None observed. |

Notes:

- 1) The base of the permitted portion of the landfill is assumed to be near the embankment crest at approximately elevation 590 ft., and the Revised Closure Plan (AECOM, 2011) indicates the expansion will raise the fill by a maximum of 58.6 ft. Based on information provided by CEC at the time of the inspection, an additional 23,265 cys was placed since the last inspection in May 2017 (CEC, 2017). The resulting utilized airspace and CCR volume is approximately 1,522,365 cubic yards.
- Features observed and documented in this checklist were not considered a deficiency or release as classified under 40 CFR 257.84(b)(5) and required no immediate action beyond periodic inspection in accordance with the SMP and typical maintenance.

| Name of Eng | gineer: Tiffany D. Johnson, P.E. | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date: Octo | ober 15, 2018 | | | | | | | |
| Engineering Firm: Golder Associates Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Signature: | Iffany Jamson | | | | | | | |



golder.com